

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,668	09/27/2001	Glen J. Desargant	7784-000363	2851
27572 75	590 10/04/2002			
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD	P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		WIMER, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. ~	$\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$	ah			
	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/965,668	DESARGANT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael C. Wimer	2821			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims 					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exam	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/965,668

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peterson (3860930) or Fitzpatrick (4635067).

Regarding Claims 1-11, Peterson shows in Figs. 10 and 11, a method for mounting a rotatable reflector, and antenna, on an aircraft within a particular swept volume of rotation, supporting the main reflector 40 on a platform 102, using a motor 103 to rotate the platform 102 about an azimuthal axis along the shaft 104, and locating the main reflector on the platform so the axis of rotation is disposed forward of a plane extending perpendicular through the axial center of the reflector 40, and through a plane intersecting the outermost ends of the reflector, all arranged as claimed. The outermost ends of the reflector intersect the axis of rotation plane. The reflector is fed with a feed horn.

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fitzpatrick (4635067).

Regarding Claims 1-11, Fitzpatrick shows in Figs. 6a-d, a method for mounting a rotatable reflector 48, and antenna 31 fed with a transmission line (waveguide) and rotary joint (see column 3, lines 45-48), on an aircraft within a particular

Art Unit: 2821

swept volume of rotation, supporting the main reflector 48 on a platform, using a motor to rotate the platform about an azimuthal axis 46, and locating the main reflector on the platform so the axis of rotation is disposed forward of a plane extending perpendicular through the axial center of the reflector, and through a plane intersecting the outermost ends of the reflector, all arranged as claimed. The outermost ends of the reflector intersect the axis of rotation plane. The reflector is fed with a feed horn and rotary joint.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson or Fitzpatrick in view of Sefton (4831384).

Sefton is cited as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art and as evidence of obviousness and shows in Fig. 2 a rotary coaxial joint 3 for coax 15,15 that feeds the feeder 2 and reflector 1. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ such a joint in the Peterson or Fitzpatrick devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (703) 305-3555. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 2821

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (703) 308-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821

MCW 25 September 2002